

**ASME B5.64-2022**

# **Methods for the Performance Evaluation of Single-Axis Linear Positioning Systems**

---

**AN AMERICAN NATIONAL STANDARD**



**The American Society of  
Mechanical Engineers**

**ASME B5.64-2022**

# **Methods for the Performance Evaluation of Single-Axis Linear Positioning Systems**

---

**AN AMERICAN NATIONAL STANDARD**



**The American Society of  
Mechanical Engineers**

Two Park Avenue • New York, NY • 10016 USA

Date of Issuance: June 23, 2023

This Standard will be revised when the Society approves the issuance of a new edition.

This code or standard was developed under procedures accredited as meeting the criteria for American National Standards. The standards committee that approved the code or standard was balanced to ensure that individuals from competent and concerned interests had an opportunity to participate. The proposed code or standard was made available for public review and comment, which provided an opportunity for additional public input from industry, academia, regulatory agencies, and the public-at-large.

ASME does not “approve,” “certify,” “rate,” or “endorse” any item, construction, proprietary device, or activity. ASME does not take any position with respect to the validity of any patent rights asserted in connection with any items mentioned in this document, and does not undertake to insure anyone utilizing a standard against liability for infringement of any applicable letters patent, nor does ASME assume any such liability. Users of a code or standard are expressly advised that determination of the validity of any such patent rights, and the risk of infringement of such rights, is entirely their own responsibility.

Participation by federal agency representatives or persons affiliated with industry is not to be interpreted as government or industry endorsement of this code or standard.

ASME accepts responsibility for only those interpretations of this document issued in accordance with the established ASME procedures and policies, which precludes the issuance of interpretations by individuals.

The endnotes and preamble in this document (if any) are part of this American National Standard.



ASME Collective Membership Mark

“ASME” and the above ASME symbol are registered trademarks of The American Society of Mechanical Engineers.

No part of this document may be reproduced in any form,  
in an electronic retrieval system or otherwise,  
without the prior written permission of the publisher.

The American Society of Mechanical Engineers  
Two Park Avenue, New York, NY 10016-5990

Copyright © 2023 by  
THE AMERICAN SOCIETY OF MECHANICAL ENGINEERS  
All rights reserved

# CONTENTS

Foreword .....		viii
Committee Roster .....		ix
Correspondence With the B5 Committee .....		x
<b>Section 1</b>	<b>Scope .....</b>	<b>1</b>
1-1	Scope .....	1
<b>Section 2</b>	<b>References .....</b>	<b>2</b>
2-1	Normative References .....	2
2-2	Informative References .....	2
<b>Section 3</b>	<b>Nomenclature .....</b>	<b>5</b>
3-1	Nomenclature .....	5
<b>Section 4</b>	<b>Definitions .....</b>	<b>11</b>
4-1	Introduction .....	11
4-2	Terms and Definitions .....	11
<b>Section 5</b>	<b>Measurement Points, Coordinate Systems, and System Positioning Errors .....</b>	<b>23</b>
5-1	Introduction .....	23
5-2	Measurement Point .....	23
5-3	Carriage and Base Coordinate Systems .....	24
5-4	Carriage Origin Point .....	25
5-5	System Positioning Error Motion Nomenclature .....	25
5-6	Measurement Point Transformations .....	26
<b>Section 6</b>	<b>Environmental Specifications .....</b>	<b>27</b>
6-1	General .....	27
6-2	Temperature .....	27
6-3	Air Humidity .....	28
6-4	Barometric Pressure .....	28
6-5	Base Vibration .....	28
6-6	Electrical .....	29
6-7	Utility Air .....	29
<b>Section 7</b>	<b>Positioning Performance .....</b>	<b>31</b>
7-1	General .....	31
7-2	In-Position Jitter Test .....	31
7-3	Move-and-Settle Test .....	34
7-4	Incremental Step Test and Minimum Incremental Motion Test .....	40
7-5	Static Positioning Accuracy and Repeatability .....	47
7-6	Constant Velocity and Acceleration Test .....	63
7-7	Dynamic Positioning Tests .....	73
<b>Section 8</b>	<b>Geometric Accuracy .....</b>	<b>90</b>
8-1	Straightness Errors .....	90

8-2	Angular Errors .....	97
<b>Section 9</b>	<b>Point Repeatability Test .....</b>	<b>105</b>
9-1	General .....	105
9-2	Measurement Setup .....	105
9-3	Measurement Procedure .....	110
9-4	Data Analysis .....	111
9-5	Test Uncertainty Analysis .....	112
9-6	Presentation of Results .....	112
<b>Section 10</b>	<b>Servo Characterization .....</b>	<b>115</b>
10-1	General .....	115
10-2	Technical Background .....	115
10-3	Frequency Response Measurements .....	119
10-4	Stability Metrics .....	120
10-5	Presentation of Results .....	120
10-6	Summary .....	120
<b>Section 11</b>	<b>Test Equipment and Instrumentation .....</b>	<b>124</b>
11-1	General .....	124
11-2	Existing References and Standards .....	125
11-3	Linear Position .....	125
11-4	Angle .....	128
11-5	Temperature .....	131
11-6	Barometric Pressure .....	131
11-7	Humidity .....	132
11-8	Refractive Index of Air .....	132
11-9	Vibration .....	132
11-10	Data Acquisition .....	133
11-11	Fixturing .....	133
<b>Section 12</b>	<b>Uncertainty .....</b>	<b>134</b>
12-1	General .....	134
12-2	Rated Operating Conditions .....	134
12-3	Test Conditions .....	134
12-4	Measurand and Uncertainty .....	134
12-5	Measurement Reproducibility .....	135
12-6	Example Sources of Uncertainty .....	135
 <b>Nonmandatory Appendix</b>		
I	Measurement Point to Functional Point Error Transformation .....	137
 <b>Mandatory Appendices</b>		
II	Isolated Sensor Check .....	141
III	Specifications for Operating Conditions and Performance .....	144
 <b>Figures</b>		
5-2-1	Measurement Point (MP) .....	23
5-3-1	Right-Handed Coordinate System Showing Directionality of Motion .....	24

5-3-2	Motion of the Functional Point (FP) With Respect to the Frame Coordinate System (csF)	24
5-5-1	Error Motions of a Single-Axis Linear Positioning System Designed to Traverse Along the X-Axis	25
7-2.2.2-1	Example Measurement Setup for In-Position Jitter Test in the Z-Direction at Point ( $d_x, d_y, d_z$ )	32
7-2.6-1	Example of an In-Position Jitter Test Report	35
7-3.4.2-1	Example Moving Average Error, Calculated With a Process Window Time of 50 ms	37
7-3.4.2-2	Example Moving Standard Deviation, Calculated With a Process Window Time of 50 ms	38
7-3.4.2-3	Example Moving Peak Error, Calculated With a Process Window Time of 50 ms	39
7-3.5-1	Moving Average Error for Multiple Move-and-Settle Tests	41
7-3.6-1	Example of a Move-and-Settle Test Report	42
7-4.3.1-1	Example Displacement Vs. Time Plot for an Incremental Step Test With a 2.5 nm Commanded Step Size	43
7-4.3.1-2	Illustration of Move-and-Settle Time, $t_{ms}$ , and Average Time, $t_{ave}$	44
7-4.4-1	Successively Decreasing Steps Used to Measure the Incremental Step Reversal Error	46
7-4.7-1	Example of an Incremental Step Test and Minimum Incremental Motion Test Report	48
7-5.2.3-1	Illustration of Travel Range and Measurement Range	50
7-5.3-1	Example Test Cycle Having $m = 10$ Points Measured Bidirectionally 5 Times, 5 Per Direction and Each Endpoint Measured Unidirectionally 5 Times	51
7-5.4.4-1	Abbe Error for Both Pitch Angle, $\alpha_{ij}$ , and Yaw Angle, $\beta_{ij}$ , for the Case of Measuring With an Interferometer	53
7-5.4.5-1	Examples of Measurement Data With Different Normalizations	54
7-5.5-1	Example Mean Bidirectional Positioning Error and Calculation Results	58
7-5.8-1	Plot of Linearly Corrected (via Endpoint Linear Normalization) Positioning Deviations Illustrating the Calculation of Linearity of the Axis	60
7-5.9.5-1	Plot of Calculated Positioning Deviations Illustrating the Periodic Error $P$ of a Linear Axis	61
7-5.10.1-1	Step Test Cycle	62
7-5.10.3-1	Example of Position Data Corrected for Drift	64
7-5.11-1	Example of a Static Positioning Error and Linearity Test Report	65
7-6.5.2-1	Example of a Velocity Profile for a Test Motion	69
7-6.5.2-2	Example of Velocity Settling for a Test Motion	70
7-6.5.3-1	Example of a Constant Velocity and Acceleration Test Report for a Single Motion	74
7-6.5.3-2	Example of Average Metrics for Constant Velocity and Acceleration Test Report	75
7-7.3.1-1	Laser Interferometer Example Setup	76
7-7.5.1-1	Linear Ramp Motion General Characteristics	78
7-7.5.2-1	Example Linear Ramp Motion and Dynamic Positioning Deviation for Three Control Configurations	78
7-7.5.2-2	Zoomed-In Portion of Example Dynamic Positioning Deviation for Three Control Configurations for Linear Ramp Target Motion	79
7-7.5.2-3	Zoomed-In Portion of Example Dynamic Positioning Deviation Near the Final Target Position After $t = 0.2$ s	80
7-7.5.3-1	Sinusoidal Motion General Characteristics	81
7-7.5.4-1	Example Sinusoidal Motion and Dynamic Positioning Deviation for Two Control Configurations	82
7-7.5.4-2	Zoomed-In Portion of Example Dynamic Positioning Deviation for Two Control Configurations for Sinusoidal Target Motion	82
7-7.5.4-3	Example Sinusoidal Target Velocity and Target Acceleration for Two Control Configurations	83
7-7.7.1-1	Example of a Dynamic Positioning Test Report for a Linear Ramp Motion	85

7-7.7.2-1	Example of a Dynamic Positioning Test Report for a Sinusoidal Motion . . . . .	86
7-7.7.2-2	Example of a Dynamic Velocity Test Report for a Sinusoidal Motion . . . . .	88
7-7.7.2-3	Example of a Dynamic Acceleration Test Report for a Sinusoidal Motion . . . . .	89
8-1.1-1	Straightness Error Motions, $E_{YX}$ and $E_{ZX}$ , of a Linear Positioning System Designed to Traverse in the X-Direction . . . . .	91
8-1.2.2-1	Setups for Measuring Straightness Using a Displacement Sensor and a Straightedge With Either a Fixed-Sensor Measurement or a Moving-Sensor Measurement . . . . .	91
8-1.2.2-2	Setup for Measuring Straightness Using a Straightness Interferometer With Either a Fixed-Sensor Measurement or a Moving-Sensor Measurement . . . . .	92
8-1.7-1	Example of a Static Straightness Test Report . . . . .	95
8-1.11-1	Example of a Dynamic Straightness Test Report . . . . .	98
8-2.1-1	Angular Error Motions, $E_{AX}$ , $E_{BX}$ , and $E_{CX}$ , of a Linear Positioning System Designed to Traverse in the X-Direction . . . . .	99
8-2.7-1	Example of a Static Angular Error Test Report . . . . .	102
8-2.11-1	Example of a Dynamic Angular Error Report . . . . .	104
9-2.3-1	The Four Possible Test Cases of the Point Repeatability Test Given the Options for Single or Multiple Functional Points and Target Positions . . . . .	107
9-2.4-1	Setup Configuration — Three-Sensor Nest . . . . .	108
9-2.4-2	Setup Configuration — Single Sensor . . . . .	108
9-2.4-3	Setup Configuration — Two-Sensor Nest . . . . .	108
9-6-1	Example of a Point Repeatability Test Report for a Linear Positioning System . . . . .	114
10-2.3-1	Lumped-Parameter Model of a Mass-Spring-Damper System Driven by a Force . . . . .	116
10-2.3-2	Frequency Response Plot for an Underdamped Mass-Spring-Damper System . . . . .	117
10-2.5.1-1	Basic Control Scheme Used by Many Single-Axis Positioning Systems . . . . .	118
10-3.2-1	Disturbance Signal, $w$ , Injected Into a System Following the Control Algorithm but Before the Power Amplifier Stage . . . . .	119
10-4-1	Examples of the Measures on a Typical Loop Transmission Plot . . . . .	121
10-5-1	Example of a Measured Loop Transmission for a Linear Motion System . . . . .	122
10-5-2	Corresponding Sensitivity Plot for a Measured Loop Transmission for a Linear Motion System . . . . .	122
11-3.1-1	Generic Laser Interferometer Setup for Axial Position Measurement . . . . .	126
11-3.2-1	Generic Linear Encoder Setup for Axial Position Measurement . . . . .	127
11-3.3-1	LVDT Schematic for Axial Position Measurement . . . . .	128
11-4.1-1	Alternate Laser Interferometer Setups for Pitch or Yaw Angular Displacement Measurement . . . . .	129
11-4.2-1	Autocollimator Setup for Angular Displacement Measurement . . . . .	130
I-2-1	Relationship of Vectors Between Physically Related Points Under Consideration: oF (Reference Coordinate System), M and P (Carriage) . . . . .	139
I-2-2	Associated Coordinate Systems for Fixed Points oF, M, and P . . . . .	139
I-2-3	Separation of Related Position Vectors Into System Nominal Positioning ( $\vec{X}$ ), Initial Offsets ( $\vec{M}_X$ ), and Displacement Error Vectors ( $\vec{E}_M$ and $\vec{E}_P$ ) (Combining Positioning and Straightness Deviations) . . . . .	140
II-2.1-1	Schematics of Several Displacement Sensors With Capture Fixtures . . . . .	142
II-2.3.1-1	Setup for Measuring Influence of Laser Interferometer Optics . . . . .	143
II-2.3.2-1	Setup for Measuring Influence of Laser Interferometer System . . . . .	143
<b>Tables</b>		
7-3.4.3-1	Move-and-Settle Times for Various Metrics Using a Settling Criteria of 0.05 $\mu\text{m}$ for a Process Window Time of 50 ms . . . . .	40

7-5.10.2-1	First 40 Position Values Based on a Sobol Sequence Calculation . . . . .	63
10-3.2-1	Dynamic Signal Analyzer Test Parameters to Be Specified . . . . .	120
10-5-1	Values to Accompany a Typical Servomechanism Characterization Test . . . . .	123
11-3-1	Typical Position Sensor Characteristics . . . . .	125
11-4-1	Typical Angle Sensor Characteristics . . . . .	129



# FOREWORD

Linear positioning systems are used in wide-ranging manufacturing applications from machine tools to high-precision applications such as semiconductors and photovoltaics. Many new high-precision single-axis linear positioning systems are emerging with exceptionally long ranges of motion and positioning resolutions as low as several nanometers. The ability to meet high-precision manufacturing tolerances requires accurate knowledge of the positioning performance of these systems, yet a dedicated standard for evaluating the performance of single-axis linear positioning systems did not exist. In contrast, performance standards have been used for decades to measure the performance of single-axis linear positioning systems within machine tools. However, use of these standards to measure high-precision systems with off-the-shelf instrumentation and test methods can be difficult because the performance of the high-precision class of positioning systems can approach the measurement uncertainty. Due to increasing demands on performance and new applications, many manufacturers and users have developed their own methods for characterizing these systems, but performance specifications based on these different methods and terminology has led to certain customer confusion. Hence, a new standard was needed with specific measurement methods for single-axis linear positioning systems.

Toward this end, this Standard was created by members from industry, academia, and government in coordination with the B5 Standards Committee of The American Society of Mechanical Engineers (ASME) to provide methods for the performance evaluation of single-axis linear positioning systems. The intended use of the tests described in this Standard are acceptance testing of new or reconditioned systems and verification of the performance of systems already in operation.

ASME B5.64-2022 was approved by the American National Standards Institute on December 5, 2022.

# ASME B5 COMMITTEE

## Machine Tools — Components, Elements, Performance, and Equipment

(The following is the roster of the committee at the time of approval of this Standard.)

### STANDARDS COMMITTEE OFFICERS

**S. G. Wallace**, *Chair*  
**D. Papert**, *Secretary*

### STANDARDS COMMITTEE PERSONNEL

<b>H. M. Byrnes</b> , Utilimaster, A Shyft Group Brand	<b>A. J. Koteles</b> , BWX Technologies, Inc.
<b>A. Donmez</b> , National Institute of Standards and Technology	<b>D. Papert</b> , The American Society of Mechanical Engineers
<b>J. Drescher</b> , Pratt & Whitney	<b>G. Vogl</b> , National Institute of Standards and Technology
<b>D. G. Hartman</b> , Parlec, Inc.	<b>S. G. Wallace</b> , The Boeing Co.
<b>G. Hobbs</b> , Niigata Machine Techno USA, Inc.	<b>R. C. Spooner</b> , <i>Contributing Member</i> , Powerhold, Inc.

### TECHNICAL COMMITTEE 64 — LINEAR POSITIONING

<b>G. Vogl</b> , <i>Chair</i> , National Institute of Standards and Technology	<b>R. Klopp</b> , Exponent, Inc.
<b>D. Papert</b> , <i>Secretary</i> , The American Society of Mechanical Engineers	<b>J. D. Lebel</b> , Renishaw, Inc.
<b>N. Brown</b> , AIM Concepts, LLC	<b>S. Ludwick</b> , Aerotech, Inc.
<b>A. Donmez</b> , National Institute of Standards and Technology	<b>D. L. Martin</b> , Lion Precision
<b>J. Drescher</b> , Pratt & Whitney	<b>J. Miller</b> , UNC Charlotte
<b>R. R. Fesperman, Jr.</b> , Corning, Inc.	<b>A. J. Schrauth</b> , Exponent, Inc.
<b>P. Freeman</b> , The Boeing Co.	<b>T. Charlton, Jr.</b> , <i>Contributing Member</i> , Charlton Associates
<b>A. Grabowski</b> , Physik Instrumente (PI) GmbH & Co. KG	

# CORRESPONDENCE WITH THE B5 COMMITTEE

**General.** ASME codes and standards are developed and maintained by committees with the intent to represent the consensus of concerned interests. Users of ASME codes and standards may correspond with the committees to propose revisions or cases, report errata, or request interpretations. Correspondence for this Standard should be sent to the staff secretary noted on the committee's web page, accessible at <https://go.asme.org/B5committee>.

**Revisions and Errata.** The committee processes revisions to this Standard on a periodic basis to incorporate changes that appear necessary or desirable as demonstrated by the experience gained from the application of the Standard. Approved revisions will be published in the next edition of the Standard.

In addition, the committee may post errata on the committee web page. Errata become effective on the date posted. Users can register on the committee web page to receive e-mail notifications of posted errata.

This Standard is always open for comment, and the committee welcomes proposals for revisions. Such proposals should be as specific as possible, citing the paragraph number(s), the proposed wording, and a detailed description of the reasons for the proposal, including any pertinent background information and supporting documentation.

## Cases

(a) The most common applications for cases are

(1) to permit early implementation of a revision based on an urgent need

(2) to provide alternative requirements

(3) to allow users to gain experience with alternative or potential additional requirements prior to incorporation directly into the Standard

(4) to permit the use of a new material or process

(b) Users are cautioned that not all jurisdictions or owners automatically accept cases. Cases are not to be considered as approving, recommending, certifying, or endorsing any proprietary or specific design, or as limiting in any way the freedom of manufacturers, constructors, or owners to choose any method of design or any form of construction that conforms to the Standard.

(c) A proposed case shall be written as a question and reply in the same format as existing cases. The proposal shall also include the following information:

(1) a statement of need and background information

(2) the urgency of the case (e.g., the case concerns a project that is underway or imminent)

(3) the Standard and the paragraph, figure, or table number(s)

(4) the edition(s) of the Standard to which the proposed case applies

(d) A case is effective for use when the public review process has been completed and it is approved by the cognizant supervisory board. Approved cases are posted on the committee web page.

**Interpretations.** Upon request, the committee will issue an interpretation of any requirement of this Standard. An interpretation can be issued only in response to a request submitted through the online Interpretation Submittal Form at <https://go.asme.org/InterpretationRequest>. Upon submitting the form, the inquirer will receive an automatic e-mail confirming receipt.

ASME does not act as a consultant for specific engineering problems or for the general application or understanding of the Standard requirements. If, based on the information submitted, it is the opinion of the committee that the inquirer should seek assistance, the request will be returned with the recommendation that such assistance be obtained. Inquirers can track the status of their requests at <https://go.asme.org/Interpretations>.

ASME procedures provide for reconsideration of any interpretation when or if additional information that might affect an interpretation is available. Further, persons aggrieved by an interpretation may appeal to the cognizant ASME committee or subcommittee. ASME does not "approve," "certify," "rate," or "endorse" any item, construction, proprietary device, or activity.

Interpretations are published in the ASME Interpretations Database at <https://go.asme.org/Interpretations> as they are issued.

**Committee Meetings.** The B5 Standards Committee regularly holds meetings that are open to the public. Persons wishing to attend any meeting should contact the secretary of the committee. Information on future committee meetings can be found on the committee web page at <https://go.asme.org/B5committee>.

INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

# Section 1

## Scope

### 1-1 SCOPE

(a) This Standard establishes a methodology for specifying and testing the performance of single-axis linear positioning systems. It covers linear positioning systems with travels ranging from micrometers to meters.

(b) This Standard describes equivalent test methods and instrumentation described in existing machine tool standards (ASME B5.54, ASME B5.57, and ISO 230 series) and additional methods and instrumentation used for the characterization of positioning systems having a relatively high positioning performance when compared to standard machine tool performance.

(c) This Standard seeks to highlight the importance of understanding measurement uncertainty and the test uncertainty ratio (TUR) by providing methods for estimating the test uncertainty and the uncertainty of positioning performance results.

(d) In addition to clarifying the positioning performance evaluation, this Standard facilitates performance comparisons between systems by unifying terminology and the treatments of environmental effects and measurement uncertainty.

(e) This Standard provides a series of tests that should be used to perform acceptance testing of new and reconditioned positioning systems and could be used to verify the continued capability of systems, already in operation, through periodic testing. The set of acceptance tests and the specification limits for system conformance shall be the subject of contractual agreement between the user and the manufacturer/supplier.